

p-adic L functions for totally real number fields I

Osaka, 3. IV. 2012

§ Introduction

F totally real number field, $G_F = \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$.

$\chi: G_F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ continuous: Artin L function of χ is

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{meromorphic} \\ \text{continuation} \\ \text{to all } \mathbb{C} \end{array} \right) \text{ of } s \mapsto \sum_{0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_F} \frac{\chi(\mathfrak{a}) N\mathfrak{a}^s}{N\mathfrak{a}^s}, \text{Re}(s) > 1 \quad \text{where } (\mathfrak{a}, G/G) \text{ is the Artin symbol of CFT}$$

The aim of my series of talks is to make precise what we mean by a "p-adic analogue of this complex function".

1 (today). Definitions & strategy for construction

2. Deligne-Ribet (1978) construction

3. Cassou-Nogues (1979) & Barsky (1977) construction.

§ Definitions

The totally real number field F is fixed from now on.

- $p \geq 2$ an odd prime, $F_\infty = F \cdot \mathbb{Q}_\infty$ cyclotomic ext, $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/F)$

- M/F = finite abelian real ext, $F \cap M = F$. Set also $M_\infty = M F_\infty$.

and $G = \text{Gal}(M_\infty/F) \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$. $\Delta := \text{Gal}(M/F)$

Def Let $\varepsilon: G \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ be locally constant. Then set

$$L(\varepsilon, s) = \sum_{\substack{0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_F \\ \mathfrak{a} \text{ unramified in } M_\infty/F}} \varepsilon(\mathfrak{a}) N\mathfrak{a}^s \quad \text{for } \text{Re}(s) > 1 \quad \left[\varepsilon(\mathfrak{a}) := \varepsilon((\mathfrak{a}, M_\infty/F)) \right]$$

$\Rightarrow$ It depends on G ! E.g. 1: $G \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ is defined for all G
 $\mathfrak{a} \mapsto 1$

but $L(1, s)$ depends on it!

Thm (Siegel) (i) $L(\epsilon, s)$ extends meromorphically to $\mathbb{C}$, only possible pole is simple at $s=1$.

(ii) $\forall j \leq 0, L(\epsilon, j) \in \mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)$

$\Rightarrow$ If V is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space, $\epsilon: G \rightarrow V$ is locally const. (e.g. character $\neq \pm 1 \Rightarrow V = \mathbb{Q}(\epsilon) \cong \mathbb{Q}$), $L(\epsilon, j): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ well defined

Pf $N < G$ s.t. $\epsilon(x)$ depends only on $x \pmod{N}$: it is open $\Rightarrow$ finite index $\Rightarrow$ set $V_{\bar{x}} = \epsilon(\bar{x})$ for $\bar{x} \in G/N$. then put $L(\epsilon, j) = \sum_{\bar{x} \in G/N} L(\chi_{\bar{x}+N}, j) \cdot V_{\bar{x}}$ where $\chi_{\bar{x}}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} = \text{char. fun.}$ $\square$

Naive Def. 1: Let $\psi: \Delta \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}^\times$ be a char. ($\Rightarrow \psi: G \rightarrow \Delta \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}^\times$ loc. const, $V = \mathbb{Q}_p(\psi)$). Then the p -adic L-function "could be" the continuous function $L_p(\psi, s): \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ s.t. $L_p(\psi, j) = L(\psi, j), \forall j \leq 0$

$\Rightarrow$ Does not exist! $\psi(-1) = -1 \Rightarrow L(\psi, j) = 0 \forall j \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \Rightarrow L_p(\psi, j) \equiv 0$

$\Rightarrow L_p(\psi, j) = 0 \neq L(\psi, j)$ if $j \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ (2)

Naive Def. 2: $L_p(\psi, j) = L(\psi \omega^{-j}, j) \forall j \leq 0$ ($(-1)^{j-1} = \omega^j(-1) \cdot \eta(-1)$)

Remark 1. Does it work? $\rightarrow$ Almost.

2. Why should we (= you) care? $\rightarrow$ As in Ichino's talk, $L_p(\psi, -) \leftrightarrow$ Iwasawa Theory!

Now we proceed to a more precise definition.

Thm Let $(V, |\cdot|)$ be a normed $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space. Then

$LC(G, V) = \{ \epsilon: G \rightarrow V \text{ locally const.} \} \subset \mathcal{C}(G, V) = \{ f: G \rightarrow V \text{ cts} \}$
 dense!

Remark $G =$ any commutative profinite gp would be ok.

Pf $f: G \rightarrow V$ cts $\Rightarrow$ bounded (G is compact) & uniformly cts (G is Hausdorff). So

- $\|f\| = \sup_{g \in G} |f(g)|$ is a norm
 - $\forall \delta > 0 \exists H = H(\delta) \triangleleft G$ s.t. $g, g' \in H \Rightarrow |f(g) - f(g')| < \delta$.
- $\Rightarrow$ Look for $\varepsilon \in LC(G, V)$ s.t. $\|f - \varepsilon\| < \delta$.

Choose representatives $g_1, \dots, g_s$ of $G/H(\delta)$, set $\varepsilon|_{g_i + H} = f(g_i)$,
loc. const. Then $\sup_{g \in G} |f(g) - \varepsilon(g)| = \sup_i \sup_{g \in g_i + H} |f(g) - \varepsilon(g)|$
 $= \sup_{1 \leq i \leq s} \sup_g |f(g) - f(g_i)| < \delta$ □

Def A V -valued measure is a linear functional $\mu: \mathcal{C}(G, V) \rightarrow V$
such that $|\mu(f)| \leq \|f\| \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{C}(G, V)$.

We say μ is rational if for every subspace $W \subseteq V$, and
all f , we have $f(G) \subseteq W \Rightarrow \mu(f) \in W$.

Prop Sometimes it is only required $\exists c$ s.t. $|\mu(f)| \leq c \cdot \|f\|$.

Cor: V complete $\Rightarrow$ Measure determined by its values on
 $LC(G, V)$. If V is a field, it is uniquely determined by
 $\text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(G, V^{\times \text{f.o.}})$, the characters of G of finite order.

Pf. Bounded $\Rightarrow$ cts; and V Hausdorff $\Rightarrow$ cts fct is defined
on dense subspace. By linear indep. of characters, every
 $\varepsilon \in LC(G, V)$ is uniquely finite, linear comb. of characters □

Recall $\Delta = \text{Gal}(N/\mathbb{F})$: then $G = \Delta \times \Gamma \Rightarrow G \ni g \stackrel{!}{=} (\delta, \gamma)$
 $\mapsto \psi \in \text{Hom}(\Delta, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times) \Rightarrow$ induced map $(\pi^*)^*: \mathcal{C}(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{C}_p)$

via $(\pi^*)^*(f)(\delta, \gamma) = \psi(\delta) f(\gamma) \Rightarrow \pi_*^{(\psi)}: \mathcal{H}(G, \mathbb{C}_p) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}_p)$
 $\mu \longmapsto (\pi_*^{(\psi)} \mu)(f) := \mu((\pi^*)^* f)$

It preserves rationality if $\psi(\Delta) \subseteq V$.

Def (i) If $\psi \neq 1$, the p -adic L -function attached to ψ is the unique rational $\lambda_\psi \in \mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}_p)$ s.t. $\forall j \leq 0$

$$\int \phi \cdot K_{g_c}^j d\lambda_\psi = L(\phi, \psi, j) \quad \forall \phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}^* \text{ of finite ord,}$$

(ii) If $\psi = 1$, fix an ideal $c \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$, unramified in $\mathbb{M}_0/\mathbb{F}$.
 The p -adic zeta function with parameter c is the unique rational $\zeta_{p,c} \in \mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}_p)$ s.t.

$$\int \phi \cdot K_{g_c}^j d\zeta_{p,c} = (1 - (Wc)^{n-j} \cdot \phi(c)) L(\phi, j) \quad \forall \phi, j \leq 0$$

Remark: Uniqueness, if they exists, is clear by Corollary.

• Why only measures on Γ and not on G ?

• Why, if $\psi = 1$, the stupid factor " $1 - (Wc)^{n-j} \cdot \phi(c)$ "?

→ The original construction produces a linear functional

$\lambda: \mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ which, unfortunately, is not bounded.

But it works for all ψ at the same time (after all, if $\varepsilon \in LC(G, \mathbb{C}_p)$, $\psi \cdot \varepsilon$ is also locally constant). In other words, $\lambda_\psi = \pi_*^{(\psi)} \lambda \rightsquigarrow$ Of course, such a λ seems a nicer object: but, for Iwasawa-theoretic purposes - is "less" suited.

If $\psi = 1$, the "stupid factor" helps keeping it bounded. Indeed,

(i) construct $\mu_c \in \mathcal{H}(G, \mathbb{C}_p)$ s.t. $\int K_{g_c}^j \varepsilon d\mu_c = (1 - K_{g_c}^{n-j}(c) \varepsilon(c)) L(\varepsilon, j)$

- (ii) "divide" μ_c by $(1-S_c)$, so $\lambda = \frac{\mu_c}{(1-S_c)} \in \text{Frac}(\mathcal{H}(G, \mathbb{F}_p))$
 (iii-1) $\psi \neq 1 \Rightarrow$ Check that $\pi_x^\psi \lambda$ is bounded again.
 (iii-2) $\psi = 1 \Rightarrow \pi_x^\psi \lambda$ not bounded $\Rightarrow$ just set $\sum_{p,c} = \pi_x^\psi(\mu_c)$.

• A priori, $\forall j \leq 0$ the functional $\varepsilon \mapsto L(j, \varepsilon\psi)$ (if $\psi \neq 1$) is a measure $\lambda_\psi^{(j)}$. Not clear:

• What is $\int K_{\text{cyc}} \cdot \varepsilon d\lambda_\psi^{(j)}$
 - Relation $\lambda_\psi^{(j)} \leftrightarrow \lambda_\psi^{(i)}$
 Thm (proven below): $\forall j \leq 0, \lambda_\psi^{(j)} = K_{\text{cyc}}^j \cdot \lambda_\psi^{(0)}$. Then set $\lambda_\psi = \lambda_\psi^{(0)}$ and both questions are settled.

§ Relations with Iwasawa theory, Ochiai's lecture & "Dream"

- In this §, assume $\lambda_\psi, \sum_{p,c}$ exist!

Prop There is an isomorphism:

$$\lambda_\psi \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}_p(\psi))^{\text{rat.}} \downarrow \Gamma \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{F}_p), \text{ where}$$

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\sum_{i=0}^N a_i \gamma^i\right)(\varepsilon) = \sum_{i=0}^N \varepsilon(\gamma^i) \cdot a_i \quad \text{if } \varepsilon \text{ constant (mod } \Gamma^{p^N})$$

$$\Gamma_p(\mu)(s) = \int_{\Gamma} (K_{\text{cyc}}^{-1} \omega)^s d\mu \quad (\text{"p-adic } \Gamma\text{-transform"})$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Ochiai's } L_p(\psi) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\lambda_\psi)$$

$$\text{naïve } L_p(\psi, s) = \Gamma_p(\lambda_\psi)$$

$$* \psi = 1 ? \lambda_\psi \text{ does not exist} \dots \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\sum_{p,c}) = (1-\gamma) L_p(\psi)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(c, \text{Mod } \mathbb{F}_p) = \gamma$$

§ Strategy for constructing λ_ψ

Theorem (Coates) Assume that

[A] For all $\varepsilon \in LC(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, $\psi \in \text{unramified in } \text{Max}_F$,
 $L(0, \psi \varepsilon) - \mathcal{N}(c) \psi(c) L(0, \psi \varepsilon_c) \in \mathbb{Z}_p(\psi)$

[B] For all $j \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$, let $LC(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_p) \ni \eta \equiv k_{\text{cyc}}^{-j}$
 modulo p^n . Then $\forall \varepsilon \in LC(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_p)$

$$L(j, \psi \varepsilon) - (\mathcal{N}(c))^{-j} \psi(c) L(j, \psi \varepsilon_c) \equiv L(j, \psi \eta) - \mathcal{N}(c) \psi(c) L(0, \psi \varepsilon_c \eta_c) \left(\omega_{\mathbb{Z}_p(\psi)}^n \right)$$

Then, if $\psi \neq 1$, λ_ψ exists; if $\psi = 1$, $\Sigma_{p,c}$ exists.

Here we have set $\varepsilon_c: g \mapsto \varepsilon(c; \text{Max}_F) \cdot g$

Pf Let $\mu_c: LC(G, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be defined by [A]

By assumption, it is bounded $\Rightarrow \mu_c \in \mathcal{M}(G, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$. Look

at $\frac{\mu_c}{1 - \delta_c} \in \text{Frac}(\mathcal{M}(G, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}))$. It can easily be checked to be a functional, independent of c . By using $\mathcal{F}$ above $\Rightarrow \frac{\mu_c}{1 - \delta_c} = \lambda + \eta$ with $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}(G, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$, η induced from a Haar measure on Δ . Then $(\pi_\#)(\eta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \psi \neq 1 \\ \neq 0 & \psi = 1 \end{cases}$

• If $\psi \neq 1$, $\pi_\#(\lambda + \eta) := \lambda_\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ independent of c .

• If $\psi = 1$, no! just push-forward μ_c

Now we have to use [B] to check what happens if we integrate $k_{\text{cyc}}^j \varepsilon$ against λ_ψ . For simplicity, only $\psi \neq 1$:

$$\text{ETS: } \int k_{\text{cyc}}^j \varepsilon d\lambda_\psi \equiv L(j, \varepsilon) \quad \omega^n = \omega_{\mathbb{Z}_p(\psi)}^n \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

Pick η as in [B]: then $(\mu_c \text{ linear})$

$$L(j, \psi \varepsilon) - (\mathcal{N}(c))^{-j} \psi(c) L(j, \psi \varepsilon_c) \stackrel{[B]}{\equiv} \int \varepsilon \eta d\mu_c \stackrel{[B]}{\equiv} \int \varepsilon k_{\text{cyc}}^j d\mu_c \left(\omega^n \right)$$

$\Rightarrow$ Apply $\pi_x^{(r)}$ to get the desired congruence $\square$