

First talk

I. A construction of the Néron-Tate height pairing on abelian varieties by S. Bloch [4]

II. Several constructions of the p -adic heights

III. Comparison of p -adic heights

p -adic height --- $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -valued height related to p -adic BSD.

1. The "usual" construction of Néron-Tate canonical height on abelian var $A/\text{number field}$

The ht on $\mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow A$ naive ht on A by

$A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$
e.g.

naive Néron local ht.

Néron-Tate can. ht pairing
Tate's 2-power technique

product formula
(canonical) $\sum_v \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_v$

local ht pairing has "characterization" Néron local ht pairing

2. Construction by Bloch [4]

Outline $A/\mathbb{Q}$: abel. var.

$$| \cdot |_v : v = p, \infty \quad \text{normalize} \quad \prod_v |x|_v = 1 \quad (2)$$

so that $x \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$

Want to define

$$A^v(\mathbb{Q}) \times A(\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

dual abelian var. $(x, y) \longmapsto \langle x, y \rangle_{\text{Bloch}}$

Step 1 Regard

$$x \in A^v(\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Q}, \text{gp}}(A, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

i.e. $\swarrow$

$$\exists D \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_x \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

Step 2 $v = p, \infty$

construct $l_{x,n} : \mathbb{G}_x(\mathbb{Q}_v) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$

hom. cont. extending $\log | \cdot |_v : \mathbb{Q}_v^\times \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$
 $(\mathbb{Q}_\infty = \mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}_v^\times & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_x(\mathbb{Q}_v) & \longrightarrow & A(\mathbb{Q}_v) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \log | \cdot |_v \downarrow & & \swarrow \exists! l_{x,v} & & \\ & & \mathbb{R} & & & & \end{array}$$

uniqueness of $l_{x,v}$ follows from

$A(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ compact. $\mathbb{R}$ has no non-trivial compact subgp

By Bourbaki (Integration), it always exists

(Oesterlé [25])

For $a \in \mathcal{G}_x(\mathbb{Q})$, $l_{x,v}(a) = 0$ for almost all v . ③

$$l_x = \sum_v l_{x,v} : \mathcal{G}_x(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

Step 3 $\langle x, y \rangle_{\text{Bloch}} = l_x(\hat{y})$

where $\hat{y}$ is any lift of y by $\mathcal{G}_x(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow A(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow 0$

$$l_x(\mathbb{Q}^\times) = 0 \quad \text{well defined.}$$

(bilinear not clear. ...)

local height pairing

zero cycle, degree 0

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{Bloch}, v} : \left(\text{Div}_v^0(A)(\mathbb{Q}_v) \times \overset{\downarrow}{Z_0(A)^0(\mathbb{Q}_v)} \right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

disjoint support

For $x \in \text{Div}_v^0(A)(\mathbb{Q}_v)$,

$$\exists 1 \rightarrow G_m \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_x \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

$\exists$ tautolog: cal section

$$A \setminus |x| \xrightarrow{S_x} \mathcal{G}_x \quad \begin{matrix} \uparrow \\ \text{support} \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{up to constant} \\ \text{multiplication} \end{matrix}$$

$$\langle x, y \rangle_{\text{Bloch}, v} := l_{x,v}(S_x(y))$$

$$y = \sum n_i p_i \quad \begin{matrix} A(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_v}) \ni p_i \\ \sim \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_v}/\mathbb{Q}_v)\text{-fixed} \end{matrix}$$

$$S_x(y) := \prod_i S_x(p_i)^{n_i} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{well-defined} \\ \text{by } \sum n_i = 0 \end{matrix}$$

$$\text{Thm (Bloch)} \quad \langle , \rangle_{\text{Bloch}, v} = \langle , \rangle_{\text{NT}, v}$$

$\uparrow$
 Néron-Tate

$$\langle , \rangle_{\text{Bloch}} = \sum_v \langle , \rangle_{\text{Bloch}, v}$$

$$\langle , \rangle_{\text{Bloch}} = \langle , \rangle_{\text{NT}} \quad \text{global}$$

(Proof) Check the characterization of local Néron-Tate ht.

3. Bloch's motivation

Give an interpretation of full BSD formula as Tamagawa number formula.

Suppose $r = \text{rank } \check{A}(\mathbb{Q})$

Fix $A^v(\mathbb{Q}) = N \oplus \check{A}(\mathbb{Q})$ tor

$\nwarrow$ free

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \exists \quad 1 & \longrightarrow & N_m & \longrightarrow & G_N & \longrightarrow & A \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \log \downarrow & & \uparrow \text{!} & & \\ & & \mathbb{R}^r & \xleftarrow{\quad} & h_{N,v} & & \end{array}$$

$N \cong \mathbb{G}_m^r$ non-canonically

$$\text{Then, } L(G_N, s) = L(A, s) L(\mathbb{G}_m^r, s)$$

$$= L(A, s) \zeta(s)^r$$

$\leftarrow$ Riemann zeta

$$\text{(weak) BSD for } A \Leftrightarrow L(G_N, 1) \neq 0, \infty$$

$$\text{BSD} \Rightarrow L(\mathcal{G}_N, 1) \neq 0, \infty, \mathcal{G}_N/\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathcal{G}(A_{\mathbb{Q}}) \quad (5)$$

discrete cocompact.

$\Rightarrow$ Tamagawa number $\tau(\mathcal{G}_N)$ is defined.

Tamagawa number conj

$$\tau(\mathcal{G}_N) = \frac{\# \text{Pic}(\mathcal{G}_N)_{\text{tor}}}{\# \text{III}(\mathcal{G}_N)}$$

$$\frac{\prod_{v \leq \infty} C_{v,A} \# A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}^{-1} \cdot \text{Reg}(\text{Bloch})}{L(\mathcal{G}_N, 1)} \leftarrow \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} \langle P_i, P_j \rangle_{\text{Bloch}}$$

$(P_i):$ generator of $A(\mathbb{Q})/A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}$

full BSD $\Leftrightarrow$ Tam conj for $\mathcal{G}_N$

* "Bloch's construction"

can be generalized to Galois rep?

$$H_f^1(V^*(1)) \times H_f^1(V) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}?$$

$\rightarrow$ Bloch-Kato Tamagawa number conj

can be also p -adified

$$4. \quad A^v = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{G}_p}(A, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

$$\text{Div}^0(A) = \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{action} + \text{ext.}}}{\text{"Ext rig"}}(A, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

K : field (e.g. $K = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}_v$)

A/K : abel. var.

$$D \in \text{Div}^0(A)(K)$$

$$D \in \text{Div}^0(A) \Leftrightarrow m^*D - p_1^*D - p_2^*D \text{ is alg. eq. to } 0 \text{ principal on } A \times A.$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{where } m: A \times A \rightarrow A \\ \text{summation} \\ p_i: A \times A \rightarrow A \quad (i=1,2) \\ i\text{-th projection} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow T_x^*D \sim D \text{ is principal}$$

for $\forall x \in A(\bar{K})$, T_x : translation by x

Construction of

$$1 \rightarrow G_m \rightarrow G_D \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

$\swarrow \quad \downarrow$
 $S_D \quad A/D$

$\exists$ two methods

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{theory of birational gp [5] + [30]} \\ \text{theta gp} \end{array} \right.$